

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,269	10/28/2003	Janne Kesala	SEPP14.001C1	4712
20995 7590 · 09/28/2005		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BUEKER, RICHARD R	
2040 MAIN S'	TREET			
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 00/28/200	e ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	,
	h	
;		
NYS,		
cation.		
its is		
21(d).	İ	
2.		
2		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/695,269	KESALA, JANNE					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Richard Bueker	1763					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ilv 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
'=	• **	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· _	Claim(s) 37-44 is/are pending in the application	·						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
′_	<u> </u>							
·	6) Claim(s) 37-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
		orden requirement.						
	on Papers							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• • • •					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,					
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	• •	. 🗖	·					
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/695,269

Art Unit: 1763

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 37-39, 41, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tasaki (6,149,975) (see figs. 1-4) who discloses a reactant source assembly for generating a reactant gas flow comprising a first container containing reactant material to be vaporized. The first container is located in a second container, which is inherently or obviously gas-tight, having gas inlet and outlet pipes connected to the second container. The first container has an opening that opens into the gas space of the second container. The first container of Tasaki is metal and the plate 3, which can be considered to be a wall of the second container, is stainless steel. It also would have been obvious to use stainless

Application/Control Number: 10/695,269

Art Unit: 1763

steel for the outer walls of the second container, because Tasaki teaches that stainless steel is compatible with the reactants to be vaporized.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasaki (6,149,975) taken in view of Soininen (WO 96/17106), who teaches that containers for vaporizing reactants can be made of glass, and therefore it would have been obvious to use glass as the material of Tasaki's reactant container.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tasaki (6,149,975) taken in view of Horsky (6,107,634) (Fig. 2 and col. 4, lines 5-16), Kikuchi (JP 54-096360) or Howson (page 272, col. 2, lines 7-11), each of whom teaches that a mechanical filter should be placed on the opening of a container for holding material to be vaporized in a sublimation vaporizer, to prevent unvaporized particles from exiting the container. In view of these teachings, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the reactant material container of Tasaki with such a mechanical filter to prevent unvaporized material from exiting Tasaki's container.

Page 273 of the Howson article is not available and is not relied on in the above rejection.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not directed to the new grounds of rejection.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,269

Art Unit: 1763

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Bueker whose telephone number is (571) 272-1431. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM, Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parvis Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rules Bul

Richard Bueker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763